



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). ,	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/731,240	/	12/05/2000	Ivan Lee	M-10122 US	8731		
24251	7590	03/22/2004		EXAMINER			
SKJERVI	EN MOR	RILL LLP	TSEGAYE, SABA				
25 METRO	DRIVE				<u> </u>		
SUITE 700)			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN JOSE	E, CA 95	5110	2662	8			
				DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004	,		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

•						21			
		Appl	ication No.		Applicant(s)				
	_	09/7	31,240		LEE ET AL.				
* Office Act	Exan	niner		Art Unit					
			Tsegaye		2662				
The MAILING D	ATE of this commun	nication appears o	n the cover sheet v	with the co	orrespondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATHE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specification of the period for reply is specification. - Failure to reply within the second patent term adjustments.	OF THIS COMMUN vailable under the provisions the mailing date of this come ed above is less than thirty (sified above, the maximum so I or extended period for rep! fice later than three months	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. 30) days, a reply within th tatutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause th	no event, however, may a ne statutory minimum of th and will expire SIX (6) MC ne application to become A	a reply be time airty (30) days DNTHS from t ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this co	ely. communication.			
Status									
1) Responsive to o	communication(s) fil	ed on 23 <i>June 20</i>	03.						
2a)☐ This action is FI	• •	2b)⊠ This action							
<i>,</i> —	cation is in condition	,		tters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4a) Of the above 5)	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application Papers									
11	iled on is/are t request that any obje wing sheet(s) includin	ection to the drawing the correction is n	g(s) be held in abeya equired if the drawin	ance. See ng(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cite 2) Notice of Draftsperson's R 3) Information Disclosure St Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Patent Drawing Review (atement(s) (PTO-1449 o		Paper No			O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/731,240

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-11 and 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 14 and 15; claim 8, line 8, it is not clear whether "a second type and a first type" refers to the same first type and second type cited in line 12.

In claim 2, line 3, claim 3, line 2, and claim 8, line 7, it is not clear whether "a wireless device" refers to the same wireless client device cited in line 14 of claim 1.

In claim 13, lines 12 and 13; claim 16, line 8, it is not clear whether "a second type and a first type" refers to the same first type and second type cited in line 10 of claim 13.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/731,240 Page 3

Art Unit: 2662

4. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lincke et al. (US 6,397,259).

Lincke discloses, in Fig. 4, a wireless network (400), comprising:

a private segment of an IP network (column 18, lines 9-20);

a wireless gateway (410) for wirelessly coupling one or more wireless device (405) to the private segment of the IP network so as to convert between wireless signals and IP packets (column 17, lines 29-46);

a WAP gateway (430);

an access server (180) for providing the private segment of the IP network access to the Internet (190) and the WAP gateway (430) (column 18, lines 20-29); and

a secure connection through the Internet (190) for providing a private link between the private segment of an IP network and the access server (column 18, lines 30-46).

Regarding claim 20, Lincke discloses a wireless network, further comprising:

a packet inspector for inspecting information contained in the IP packets (column 82, lines 15-44);

a set of encryption/decryption rules for prescribing encryption/decryption to a IP packet based on the information contained in the IP packet (column 82, lines 15-63; column 85, lines 16-29); and

an encryption decryption engine for executing the encryption/decryption accordingly (column 85, line 16-column 86, line11).

Application/Control Number: 09/731,240 Page 4

Art Unit: 2662

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jamtgaard et al. (US 6,430,624) in view of Fitch et al. (US 6,647,389).

Regarding claims 1, 8, 9, 13, 16 and 17, Jamtgaard discloses, in Figs. 1 and 2, a system for serving content residing on an IP network to a plurality of wireless client devices in a wireless serve area, comprising:

at lest one wireless gateway (14) for wirelessly connecting the plurality of wireless client devices (5, 15) to the IP network (13), the wireless gateway (14) converting between wireless signals and IP packets, wherein each IP packet includes a header specifying a transport protocol, and a destination IP address (column 7, lines 1-66);

a plurality of content applications, each under a given application protocol, for providing content accessible from the IP network (13), the content including a first type and a second type (2, 3, 4) (column 6, lines 8-33).

Further, Jamtgaard discloses that the content provider 13 examines the header information contained in the data packets and redirects any non-PC requests to the translation server 12 (as in claims 1, 8, 9, 13, 16 and 17).

However, Jamtgaard does not expressly disclose redirecting to content of the second type when the client attempt to access content of the first type.

Fitch teaches a method and program that determines the availability of various meadia streams and determines which media stream is the most appropriate for that particular user, based on geography, congestion, and any other factors deemed appropriate (column 6, lines 1-15).

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a method that redirect to content of the second type when the client attempt to access content of the first type, such as that suggested by Fitch, in the method of Jamtgaard in order to avoid interruptions due to networks delays or user congestion.

Regarding claim 2, Jamtgaard discloses a system wherein the content of the first type includes content of general interest not necessarily specific to a given wireless service area in which the wireless device is operating (column 6, line 32-column 7, line 12).

Regarding claim 3, Jamtgaard discloses a system wherein the content of the second type includes content specific to a given wireless service area in which a wireless device is operating (column 6, line 32-column 7, line 30).

Regarding claim 4, Jamtgaard discloses wherein the plurality of content applications includes WML applications under the WAP and the associated transport protocol is UDP (column 6, lines 54-67).

Regarding claim 5, Jamtgaard discloses wherein the plurality of content application includes HTML applications under the HTTP and the associated transport protocol is TCP (column 6, line 32-column 7, line 12).

Regarding claims 6 and 14, Jamtgaard discloses a system wherein the content redirector includes a packet modifier that modifies the destination IP address of packets originating from the wireless client device to point to a server hosting the content of the second type (column 7, lines 12-30).

Regarding claims 7 and 15, Jamtgaard discloses a system wherein the content redirector includes a packet modifier that modifies the URL of packets originating from the wireless client device to point to the content of the second type (column 8, lines 27-45).

Regarding claim 10 and 18, Jamtgaard discloses a system wherein each wireless client device is associated with an identity number; and the packet modifier incorporates into the IP packets originating from the wireless client its associated identity number (column 8, lines 27-45).

Regarding claim 11, Jamtgaard discloses, in Fig. 2, a system wherein the IP network includes the Internet (13) (column 5, lines 14-16).

Art Unit: 2662

7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jamtgaard et al. in view of Fitch et al. as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Lincke et al.

Jamtgaard in view of Fitch discloses all the claim limitations as stated above except for IP network includes a private segment not publicly accessible.

Lincke teaches, in Fig. 1, a private network 172 between the base station 170 and the proxy server 180.

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a private segment, such as that suggested by Lincke, in the system of Jamtgaard in view of Fitch in order to provide a network security and to minimize the likelihood of flaws.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Zellner et al. (US 6,675,017) discloses a location blocking service for wireless networks.

Corrigan et al. (US 6,640,097) discloses a WAP service personalization, management and billing object oriented platform.

Liao et al. (US 6,606,663) disclose a method and apparatus for caching credentials in proxy servers for wireless user agents.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saba Tsegaye whose telephone number is (703) 308-4754. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00), First Friday off.

Application/Control Number: 09/731,240

Art Unit: 2662

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (703) 305-4744. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ST March 13, 2004

> JOHN PEZZLO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 8